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- Define $\bar{\chi}:\left[1, M^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow\left[1, r^{M}\right]$ as follows:
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- As $M^{\prime}=N\left(\ell, 1, r^{M}\right)$, we may find $a^{\prime}, d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{\chi}\left(a^{\prime}+x d^{\prime}\right)$ is constant on $X_{0}=[0, \ell-1]$.
■ This gives a sequence, $I_{0}, \ldots, I_{\ell-1}$, of $\ell$ sub-intervals of length $M$ in $\left[1, M M^{\prime}\right]$ each of which is colored the same under $\chi$.
■ Each sub-interval is of the form $I_{x}:=\left[M\left(a^{\prime}+x-1\right)+1, M\left(a^{\prime}+x\right)\right]$, with $x \in X_{0}$.
■ Consider $I_{0}$. By the induction hypothesis, there exist $a, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{m+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
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- Let $d_{1}=d^{\prime} M$.
- Let $d_{1}=d^{\prime} M$.

■ Consider the sets $X_{j} \subset[0, \ell]^{m+1}$, with $j \in[0, m+1]$.

- Let $d_{1}=d^{\prime} M$.

■ Consider the sets $X_{j} \subset[0, \ell]^{m+1}$, with $j \in[0, m+1]$.
■ For each $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m+1}\right) \in X_{j}$, consider

$$
\chi\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_{i} d_{i}\right)
$$

- Let $d_{1}=d^{\prime} M$.

■ Consider the sets $X_{j} \subset[0, \ell]^{m+1}$, with $j \in[0, m+1]$.
■ For each $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m+1}\right) \in X_{j}$, consider

$$
\chi\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_{i} d_{i}\right)
$$

■ We will consider two cases: when $x=\ell$, and when $x<\ell$.

CASE I: If $x_{1} \in[0, \ell-1]$, then $a+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_{i} d_{i} \in I_{x_{1}}$, by the definition of $I_{X_{1}}$.

CASE I: If $x_{1} \in[0, \ell-1]$, then $a+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_{i} d_{i} \in I_{x_{1}}$, by the definition of $I_{x_{1}}$. This gives,

$$
\chi\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_{i} d_{i}\right)=\chi\left(a+\sum_{i=2}^{m+1} x_{i} d_{i}\right)
$$

and so $\chi$ is constant on each $X_{j} \subset[0, \ell]^{m+1}$, with $j \in[0, m+1]$.
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CASE II: If $x_{1}=\ell$, we must also have $x_{2}=x_{3}=\cdots=x_{m+1}=\ell$.

- Thus the only elements we need to worry about come from $X_{m+1}=\{(\ell, \ldots, \ell)\}$.
■ It is clear that $\chi$ must take a unique value on $X_{m+1}$, from which the result follows.
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■ Now, we show that if statement $S(I, m)$ is true for some $I$, and all values of $m$, then statement $S(I+1,1)$ holds.

- In this way, we increase the maximum length of arithmetic progressions that are guaranteed to exist for an $r$-coloring of the natural numbers.
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- So, choose some $r$, let $N=N(I, r, r)$, and let $\chi$ be an $r$-coloring of $[1, N]$.
- Then there exist numbers $a, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}$ such that

$$
\chi\left(a+x_{1} d_{1}+x_{2} d_{2}+\cdots+x_{r} d_{r}\right)
$$

is constant on each l-equivalence class $X_{i}$.
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■ For each $i=1,2, \ldots, r$, define the sum

$$
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■ Also, define $s_{r+1}$ to be 0 .
■ Choose two specific $s_{i}$ 's, say, $s_{L}$ and $s_{H}$, such that

$$
\chi\left(a+I_{L}\right)=\chi\left(a+I_{H}\right)
$$

Also, suppose $L<H$.
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$\square$ Similarly, for each $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in $X_{1}, \chi\left(a+d_{1} x_{1}+d_{2} x_{2}\right)$ is blue.

- Our $s_{i}$ 's are:

$$
s_{1}=d_{1}+d_{2}=5 \quad s_{2}=d_{2}=1 \quad s_{3}=0
$$
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- We claim this works for

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\prime} & =a+s_{H} \\
d^{\prime} & =s_{L}-s_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Proof

■ Suppose that $x<1$. We will show that $\chi\left(a^{\prime}+d^{\prime} x\right)$ is the same as $\chi\left(a^{\prime}+d^{\prime} l\right)$. Specifically,
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- There are two tricks here: getting from (1) to (2), and getting from (3) to (4).

$$
\chi\left(a+s_{H} I+\left(s_{L}-s_{H}\right) x\right)=\chi\left(a+s_{H} I+\left(s_{L}-s_{H}\right) 0\right)
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is really saying that the following are equal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi\left(a+d_{L} x+\cdots+d_{H-1} x+d_{H} I+\cdots+d_{r} I\right) \\
& \chi\left(a+d_{L} 0+\cdots+d_{H-1} 0+d_{H} I+\cdots+d_{r} I\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\chi\left(a+s_{H} I+\left(s_{L}-s_{H}\right) x\right)=\chi\left(a+s_{H} I+\left(s_{L}-s_{H}\right) 0\right)
$$

is really saying that the following are equal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi\left(a+d_{L} x+\cdots+d_{H-1} x+d_{H} I+\cdots+d_{r} I\right) \\
& \chi\left(a+d_{L} 0+\cdots+d_{H-1} 0+d_{H} I+\cdots+d_{r} I\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is true because our choice of $d_{i}$ 's; specifically, since the vectors

$$
(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{L-1 \text { times }}, \underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{H-L \text { times }}, I, \cdots I) \text { and }(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{L-1 \text { times }}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{H-L \text { times }}, I, \cdots I)
$$

are both in the same $I$-equivalence class of $[0, I]^{r}$.
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## Conclusion

So, we're done!
■ We started by choosing an arbitrary number of colors, $r$, and an arbitrary $r$-coloring $\chi$ of the interval $[1, N]$.

- We then showed the existence of numbers $a^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ such that $\chi\left(a^{\prime}+d^{\prime} x\right)$ was constant on the set $x \in\{0,1, \ldots, /\}$.
■ Since this set is the only nontrivial $l$-equivalence class when considering $S(I+1,1)$, the existence of $a^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ gives the result!
- Therefore, given $S(I, m)$ for all $m \geq 1$, we have $S(I+1,1)$.
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- Angela showed that $S(1,1)$ is true, and Navid showed that if $S(I, 1)$ is true, then $S(I, m)$ is true for all $m \geq 1$.
- Then, I showed that if $S(I, m)$ is true for all $m \geq 1$, then $S(I+1,1)$ is true.
- Together, these show that $S(I, m)$ is true for all $I \geq 1, m \geq 1$.

■ Finally, as Angela showed, the specific case $S(I, 1)$ is van der Waerden's theorem!
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## Additional Resources

- B. L. van der Waerden, How the proof of Baudet's conjecture was found, in Studies in Pure Mathematics (Presented to Richard Rado), 251-260, Academic Press, London, 1971
- A.Y. Khinchin, Three Pearls of Number Theory, Garylock Press, Rochester, N. Y., 1952
■ Two other classical Ramsey-type theorems: Schur's Theorem and Rado's Single Equation Theorem

